

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

DONNA MOORADIAN, et al.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

FCA US, LLC,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CASE NO. 1:17-cv-1132
consolidated with
CASE NO. 5:17-cv-2154

OPINION & ORDER
[Resolving Doc. No. [58](#)]

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

This Court recently consolidated this case with a similar case brought by Plaintiff Brian Frey.¹ Counsel for all Plaintiffs seeks a telephonic conference with the Court to discuss attaching confidential discovery documents received in the Mooradian litigation to Plaintiff Frey's amended complaint.² The Court sees no reason for Plaintiff Frey to attach these documents to his complaint. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 8 only requires "a short and plain statement of the claim" that is "simple, concise, and direct."³

The Court therefore **DIRECTS** Plaintiff Frey not to include these documents in his complaint without Defendant's approval. This order is not intended to prevent Plaintiffs from citing to any documents in their dispositive motions or any other relevant filing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2017

s/ James S. Gwin
JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ See Case No. 17-cv-2154, Doc. [14](#).

² Doc. [58](#). Defendant opposes. Doc. [59](#). Plaintiffs reply. Doc. [60](#). The Mooradian Plaintiffs and Defendant FCA entered into a private contract governing the filing of confidential documents in this case. See Doc. [34-2](#). Defendant FCA argues that filing these documents in Frey's complaint constitutes breach of contract. See generally Doc. [60-1](#). The Court does not consider this hypothetical contractual dispute.

³ [Fed. R. Civ. P. 8\(a\)\(2\), \(d\)\(1\)](#).